

Open public consultation on the revision of Regulation (EU) 347/2013 on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure (TEN-E Regulation)

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

EU rules on the Trans-European Networks for Energy – the TEN-E Regulation

The **European Green Deal** confirms the EU's ambition to be climate neutral by 2050 and outlines a wide range of measures in different policy areas which need to be revised or newly introduced in order to meet this objective. In the energy sector, one of the key aims is to ensure that our energy infrastructure is fit for the purpose of achieving climate neutrality. In this sense, the Green Deal highlights the importance of smart infrastructure in this transition and specifically identifies the need to review and update the EU regulatory framework for energy infrastructure, including the Regulation (EU) No [347/2013](#) on guidelines for trans-European energy infrastructure (the "**TEN-E Regulation**"), to ensure consistency with the 2050 climate neutrality objective. As part of the political agreement between the European Parliament and the Council on the **Connecting Europe Facility** for the period 2021-2027 – the part of the EU budget which funds cross-border infrastructure projects for energy, transport and digital services – it was already agreed that the Commission should evaluate the effectiveness and policy coherence of the TEN-E Regulation. This revision of the TEN-E Regulation will also address the new policy ambition of the European Green Deal inter alia by integrating a significant increase in renewable energy in the European energy system and by putting the energy efficiency first principle into practice. More information on the European Green Deal is available on the [Europa website](#).

The TEN-E Regulation lays down rules for the timely development and interoperability of cross-border energy infrastructure [TEN-E] networks in order to achieve the EU's energy policy objectives. Its key objective is the timely implementation of the projects of common interest (known as "PCIs") which interconnect the energy markets across Europe. Interconnected energy markets allow for better integration of renewable energy sources, better security of supply and higher competition within markets that keeps prices in check. The TEN-E Regulation sets out criteria for establishing the PCIs necessary to implement priority corridors and areas in the categories of electricity, gas, oil, smart grids, and carbon dioxide networks.

More information on the TEN-E network is available on the [Europa website](#).

WHAT IS THIS QUESTIONNAIRE ABOUT?

This public consultation is part of a wider consultation strategy which feeds into the evaluation and impact assessment process. In line with EU rules on better regulation, the aim of the consultation is to gather the views of EU citizens and stakeholders on the TEN-E Regulation. This public consultation aims to collect input on what

should be viewed as the priority corridors and priority thematic areas. In addition, the TEN-E Regulation was designed to help overcome some of the key barriers to the development of European wide energy infrastructure such as permit granting, at the same time ensuring better public consultations in this process. The key questions asked therefore concern the extent to which it has achieved these objectives. The result would help to set up the policy objectives of the Regulation and options on how to improve the current shortcomings.

This questionnaire is addressed to citizens and organisations (e.g. NGOs, local government, local communities, companies and industry associations) that have no specialist knowledge of the TEN-E Regulation.

If you have specialist knowledge of the TEN-E Regulation (e.g. as a professional for a national competent / regulatory authority, TSO, DSO, company project promoter, energy producer, NGO with specific knowledge on the subject) and you are aware of issues like the energy infrastructure priority corridors and thematic areas, criteria for selection of PCIs, regulatory regimes and incentives, you are invited to fill in the targeted survey - [available here](#) - which is taking place in parallel to this one. [NB. There is no problem for professionals to answer both questionnaires.]

INFORMATION ON THE QUESTIONNAIRE

This Online Public Consultation questionnaire is structured as follows:

- Introduction: This part will ask you to provide information about yourself.
- Part I: Relevance and EU added value (your view on Europe's energy infrastructure needs and objectives)
- Part II: Public participation and transparency (your view on how information on energy infrastructure projects should be shared by project promoters).

The questionnaire should take you no more than 15 – 20 minutes to complete.

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

THE RESULTS

The consultation period will last eight weeks. Once the evaluation of the TEN-E Regulation is completed, a synopsis report of all consultation activities will be published.

YOUR OPINION REALLY MATTERS

Thank you in advance for taking the time to contribute to this consultation.

About you

*** Language of my contribution**

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian

- Finnish
- French
- Gaelic
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

* First name

Clemens

* Surname

Rosenmayr

* Email (this won't be published)

rosenmayr@eurochambres.eu

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

EUROCHAMBRES, The Association of European Chambers of Commerce and Industry

* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

0014082722-83

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti | <input type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Dominica | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg | <input type="radio"/> Samoa |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa | <input type="radio"/> Egypt | <input type="radio"/> Macau | <input type="radio"/> San Marino |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Malawi | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia |

- Anguilla
- Antarctica
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Eswatini
- Ethiopia
- Falkland Islands
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar /Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan

- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States
- United States Minor Outlying Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands

- | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Congo | <input type="radio"/> Kazakhstan | <input type="radio"/> Portugal | <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Cook Islands | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rico | <input type="radio"/> Vanuatu |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Rica | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati | <input type="radio"/> Qatar | <input type="radio"/> Vatican City |
| <input type="radio"/> Côte d'Ivoire | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo | <input type="radio"/> Réunion | <input type="radio"/> Venezuela |
| <input type="radio"/> Croatia | <input type="radio"/> Kuwait | <input type="radio"/> Romania | <input type="radio"/> Vietnam |
| <input type="radio"/> Cuba | <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan | <input type="radio"/> Russia | <input type="radio"/> Wallis and Futuna |
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao | <input type="radio"/> Laos | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus | <input type="radio"/> Latvia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy | <input type="radio"/> Yemen |
| <input type="radio"/> Czechia | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha | <input type="radio"/> Zambia |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia | |

* Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

Part I: Relevance and EU added value

In this section, we would like to ask you some questions regarding your perceptions on the current and emerging needs of trans-European energy infrastructure networks.

How would you rate the importance of the following objectives for trans-European energy infrastructure networks:

	Important	Important to a large extent	Important to a small extent	Not important	I don't know
* A competitive and properly functioning integrated energy market	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Increased resilience of energy infrastructure against technical failures, natural or man-made disasters, and the adverse effects of climate change and threats to its security	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Consumer empowerment - making sure consumers' interests are considered in decisions related to energy infrastructure	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Secure and diversified EU energy supplies, sources, and routes	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Integration of renewable energy sources into the grid	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Increase cross-border interconnections and deepen regional cooperation to transport energy from renewable sources where it is most needed	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Giving priority to energy efficiency (putting the 'Energy efficiency first' principle in practice)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Achieving the EU's decarbonisation objectives for 2030 and 2050, including climate neutrality under the European Green Deal	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Increased digitalisation of the energy infrastructure (e.g. Smart Grids)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Energy system integration and sector coupling (integration of the different energy sectors and beyond)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Which of the following infrastructure categories do you consider relevant for the regulatory framework on trans-European energy networks:

	Relevant	Relevant to a large extent	Relevant to a small extent	Not relevant	I don't know

* Electricity infrastructure (transmission lines and storage)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Grids for offshore renewable energy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Smart electricity grids	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Smart gas grids	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Natural gas infrastructure (pipelines and storage)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminals	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Dedicated hydrogen (H2) networks	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Infrastructure for the integration of renewable and carbon neutral gases	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Power-to-gas installations	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* CO2 networks (for transporting CO2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Geological storage of CO2	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

In case you are aware of other emerging energy infrastructure categories, which you consider relevant for the regulatory framework on trans-European energy networks, please, describe them below:

In order to achieve our climate goals a fully integrated energy system is key which is why the scope of the An extension of the TEN-E Regulation to all major projects necessary for the energy transition should be assessed. For example district heating infrastructure is an indispensable pillar of a clean, affordable and secure Energy supply. Another example that isn't mentioned here are Smart Demand Response measures.

Which features do you consider the most important for a project of common interest (PCI) as part of trans-European energy network?

	Important	Important to a large extent	Important to a small extent	Not important	I don't know
* Integration of renewable energy sources into the grid	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Contribution to greenhouse gas emissions reduction	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Security of supply	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Market integration (e.g. to improve infrastructure and increase system flexibility)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* Increase competition in the market	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Innovation	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Contribution to increase the energy efficiency of the energy system	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Environmentally sound implementation, i.e. compliance with the relevant regulations especially in the area of environmental impact assessment, water protection, nature conservation and air quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Generation of direct benefits to the local communities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

To what extent do you agree with the following statement: The development of trans-European energy networks cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States alone and can therefore be better achieved through coordination at EU level?

- Fully agree
- Agree to a large extent
- Agree to a small extent
- Disagree
- I don't know

Do you agree that the revised TEN-E Regulation can make an important contribution to the economic recovery in Europe through a green transition in response to the COVID-19 crisis?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Please explain your response (optional)

Networks and infrastructure projects are long term investments and will contribute to the recovery in the long run.

Part II: Public participation and transparency

Below we ask you questions regarding the participation of the public and local communities in the permit granting process for Projects of Common Interest (PCIs) and the transparency of the PCIs.

Despite the existence of established standards and procedures for the participation of the public in the environmental decision-making process, the TEN-E Regulation states that additional measures are needed to ensure the highest possible standards of transparency and public participation for all relevant issues in the permit granting process for projects of common interest. Enhancing public participation is among the key objectives of the Regulation. Under the current rules, the public consultation aims to inform relevant stakeholders (the appropriate national, regional and local authorities, landowners and citizens living in the vicinity of the project, the general public and their associations, organisations or groups) about the project at an early stage in order to help identify the most suitable location or trajectory and address all the relevant issues in the project application.

Are you aware of any Projects of Common Interest (PCI) in Europe?

- Yes, I am aware of one or several PCIs
- Yes, I am aware that there are PCIs, but I do not know any details about them
- No

Please, share which PCIs you are aware of?

6.26 Cluster Croatia-Slovenia-Austria; 3.1.1 Interconnection between St. Peter (AT) and Isar (DE); 3.4 Interconnection between Wurlach (AT) and Somplago (IT); 9.2 Bratislava — Schwechat — Pipeline

Are you aware that there is a public participation process with regards to PCIs?

- Yes, I am aware of the procedure and its specifics
- Yes, I am aware that there is a procedure, but I do not how it works in practice
- No

Have you been involved in a public participation process with regards to any PCIs?

	Yes, once	Yes, several times	No, never	I don't know
* Have you visited the website of a PCI?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Have you seen the information leaflet of a PCI?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Have you participated in meetings dedicated to a PCI?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Have you provided feedback on a PCI during any consultation phase?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Do you consider the public participation process useful?

- Yes
- To a large extent

- To a small extent
- No
- I don't know

Please explain your answer

If you have never participated in the public participation process of a PCI, please indicate why not.

- I was not aware of the notion of PCIs
- I was not aware of the opportunity to take part in the public consultation process
- I was not interested in this topic
- The level of technicalities in the published information (e.g. on the project website, leaflet) was too complicated for me to provide meaningful feedback
- I did not think that my feedback would be considered
- Other reasons

How would you assess the usefulness of the following communication channels for providing and exchanging information on PCIs:

	Very useful	Useful to a large extent	Useful to a small extent	Not useful	I don't know
* Project website (with information such as a detailed implementation schedule, a link to the manual of public participation procedures, a non-technical and regularly updated summary, public consultation planning, contact details)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Information leaflet (up to 15 pages, giving, in a clear and concise manner, an overview of the purpose and preliminary timetable of the project, the national grid development plan, alternative routes considered, expected impacts, including of cross-border nature, and possible mitigation measures)	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Meetings to discuss the project of common interest	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Providing information in writing (from the project promoter to the public and vice versa)	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Do you have any suggestions for other useful communication tools that could be created at local, national, and/or European level?

The involved government authorities should take over some of the work related to informing the public about the benefits of the project in question. Investors should be supported by e.g. the respective energy regulator. As Independent Institution it can give a clear picture of the environmental and other benefits of a project. The European Commission should assess the development of a sort of "Climate Brand" which the Investors could use to promote their project. PCIs should be connected in the public with environmentally and economically sensible and viable projects.

In line with the requirements of the TEN-E Regulation, the Commission established an infrastructure transparency platform easily accessible to the general public, including via the internet, with the purpose of providing information on current PCIs in an open, transparent and interactive way.

Are you familiar with the PCI interactive map on the Transparency Platform?

- Yes
- Yes, to a large extent
- Yes, to a small extent
- No

How would you assess the [PCI interactive map on the Transparency Platform](#), which includes the geographic information, implementation plan, amount of EU financial support and the benefits that each project brings at national and local level?

	Fully	To a large extent	To a small extent	Not at all	I don't know
* Comprehensive	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Up-to-date	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Provided in a simple language	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
* Easy to navigate	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain your answer(s) to the above question on the PCI interactive map

Have you observed any improvement in the transparency of the planning and building process of any PCIs in comparison to other energy infrastructure projects?

- Yes
- To a large extent

- To a small extent
- No
- I don't know

Please share any other recommendations that would contribute to an enhanced/strengthened participation of the public in TEN-E energy infrastructure planning and building.

Documents upload and final comments

If you have further comments, please feel free to upload a concise document. The maximum file size is 1 MB.

The uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this public consultation. The document is optional and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

Please upload your file

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

If you wish to add further information — within the scope of this questionnaire — please feel free to do so here

750 character(s) maximum

Thank you for your time and effort in answering this questionnaire.

Contact

ener-b1-projects@ec.europa.eu

